Case 1:23-cv-01639-KES-CDE	Document 12	Filed 05/07/24	Page 1 of 2
----------------------------	-------------	----------------	-------------

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 Case No. 1:23-cv-01639-KES-CDB 8 LUIS A. CASTELLANOS, 9 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY Plaintiff, TIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR PARTIES' FAILURE TO 10 v. COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER 11 HARDER MECHANICAL (Docs. 1-2) CONTRACTORS, 12 **21-DAY DEADLINE** Defendant. 13 14 On February 12, 2024, the Court ordered the parties to file a joint scheduling report at 15 least one week in advance of the scheduling conference, set for May 13, 2024 See ("Order 16 Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference," Doc. 6). 17 On May 7, 2024, Defendant filed a scheduling report to which Plaintiff was not a party. 18 (Doc. 11). Aside from Defendant's representation in the report that it "has been unable to" 19 discuss settlement with Plaintiff prior to filing the report, it is unclear to the Court whether 20 Defendant communicated or attempted to communicate with Plaintiff in connection with 21 preparing a joint report – an obligation reasonably implied in the Court's direction that the parties 22 file a joint report. As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has made no filing responsive to the 23 Court's direction that the parties prepare and file a joint scheduling report. 24 Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules 25 or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all 26 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." The Court has the inherent power to 27 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, 28

Case 1:23-cv-01639-KES-CDB Document 12 Filed 05/07/24 Page 2 of 2

including dismissal of the action. <u>Bautista v. Los Angeles County</u>, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that <u>within 21 days</u> of entry of this

order, the parties shall show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to file a joint scheduling report in advance of the scheduling conference. In the alternative, by that same deadline, the parties may comply with this order by filing a joint scheduling report consistent in all respects with requirements set forth in the Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference. If after good faith efforts a party is unable to obtain the cooperation of the other party in connection with preparing a joint scheduling report, that party may file a scheduling report that additionally describes the good faith efforts undertaken to procure the other party's contribution to the report.

The scheduling conference set for May 13, 2024, is HEREBY VACATED and CONTINUED to June 10, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.

Failure to comply with this order will result in the imposition of sanctions, including monetary sanctions and a potential recommendation that this action be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2024

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE